Presence of *Delphinus capensis* and *Delphinus delphis* (Cetacea: Delphinidae) in Uruguay

EDUARDO JURI¹, MEICA VALDIVIA¹ AND ALFREDO LE BAS^{1,2}

¹Laboratorio de Mamíferos Marinos, Museo Nacional de Historia Natural, 25 de Mayo 582, CP 11100, Montevideo, Uruguay, ²Sección Fisiología y Nutrición, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de la República, Iguá 4225, 11400, Montevideo, Uruguay

The taxonomy and distribution patterns of the common dolphins (genus Delphinus) are problematic among cetaceans and particularly complicated in the south-western Atlantic Ocean. On the basis of national collections, we found ten adult skulls of the genus Delphinus of Uruguayan origin. The two currently recognized species for the genus were identified based on craniometric measurements: the pelagic short beaked form (Delphinus delphis, N = 3) and the coastal long beaked form (Delphinus capensis, N = 4), although previous studies only recognized D. capensis for Uruguay. Three specimens could not be identified (Delphinus sp.) because of broken skull (2) and intermediate measures (1). This result is consistent with a recent review on the biogeography of the genus in the south-western Atlantic Ocean.

Keywords: Atlantic Ocean, Cetacea, Delphinidae, common dolphins, distribution

Submitted 26 September 2010; accepted 9 February 2011

INTRODUCTION

The populations of the genus Delphinus are distributed in tropical, subtropical and temperate waters worldwide (Jefferson et al., 1993) and show a high degree of morphological variation. Their taxonomy has undergone numerous revisions, with at least 30 nominal species described for the genus (Hershkovitz, 1966). Genetic (Rosel et al., 1994) and morphological (Heyning & Perrin, 1994) evidence for the existence of two species of common dolphins: a short beaked form (Delphinus delphis Linnaeus, 1758) and a long beaked one (Delphinus capensis Gray, 1828) was found in the northeastern Pacific populations. Heyning & Perrin (1994) also proposed wide global distributions for both species. However, genetic (Natoli et al., 2006) and morphological (Bell et al., 2002; Murphy et al., 2006; Tavares, 2006; Westgate, 2007) studies are not consistent with the conclusions of Heyning & Perrin (1994).

Natoli *et al.* (2006) found that the oceanic short beaked form (*D. delphis*) is a well-defined species, contrary to the coastal long beaked form (*D. capensis sensu* Heyning & Perrin, 1994), which suggests that different populations may have evolved independently converging in the same morphotype.

While the taxonomic status of the south-western Atlantic population(s) of the long beaked morphotype is not clearly established, we will refer to it as *Delphinus capensis*, following the current diagnostic criteria used by Heyning & Perrin (1994) to distinguish *D. capensis* from *D. delphis*.

Corresponding author: E. Juri Email: edujuri@gmail.com In the south-western Atlantic Ocean, *D. delphis* has been cited for Argentinean waters (Crespo *et al.*, 2000; Bastida & Rodríguez, 2006) and *D. capensis* for southern and south-eastern Brazilian waters: São Paulo, Paraná and Santa Catarina States (Santos *et al.*, 2002; Cherem *et al.*, 2004; Zerbini *et al.*, 2004). Moreover, Martins *et al.* (1995) reported a stranded *D. delphis* at the southernmost State of Rio Grande do Sul (Brazil).

Tavares et al. (2010) reviewed the biogeography of the genus Delphinus in the south-western Atlantic Ocean and proposed three stocks: one located in northern Brazil (Pará State, Stock 1: 0-1°S) and two from south-eastern Brazil (Rio de Janeiro \approx 22°S) to central Argentina (Patagonia \approx 42°S). These authors found two distinct patterns in habitat use stratified by water depth: in south-eastern Brazil (from 22°S to 28°S, Stock 2) sightings were restricted to coastal waters with depths ranging from 18 to 70 m, which is similar to the reported habitat for D. capensis in other parts of the world. On the other hand, in the area that extends from southern Brazil to central Argentina (from 28°S to 42°S), sightings were recorded in deeper waters, ranging from 71 to 1435 m (Stock 3), which resembles the pattern observed for D. delphis (see Figure 1). According to Tavares et al. (2010), Stocks 2 and 3 include both forms (D. delphis and D. capensis) sensu Heyning & Perrin (1994).

Early Uruguayan mammals' lists cited *D. microps* (Arechavaleta, 1882; Figueira, 1894), a synonym of *D. capensis* (Heyning & Perrin, 1994; Mead & Brownell, 2005). Ximénez *et al.* (1972) and Pilleri (1977) replaced that name by *D. delphis.* González (2001) returned to *D. capensis* based on Heyning & Perrin's propositions (1994). However, these last authors did not directly examine the south-western Atlantic specimens. Their results were only based on the craniometric measurements published by Casinos (1984) of three



Fig. 1. Proposed scheme of distribution of Stock 2 and Stock 3 of common dolphins in south-western Atlantic waters (Tavares *et al.*, 2010). Red patch, Stock 2; blue patch, Stock 3. States of Brazil: RJ, Rio de Janeiro; SP, São Paulo; PR, Paraná; SC, Santa Catarina; RS, Rio Grande do Sul. Provinces of Argentina: BA, Buenos Aires; RN, Río Negro; CH, Chubut.

specimens from Brazil, five from Argentina and two from Venezuela.

In a recent revision, Tavares *et al.* (2010) only found *D. capensis* in Uruguay (\approx_{34} °S) based on the examination of three skulls. According to the same author, there were very few records and little information was available in the scientific collections visited.

The oceanographic dynamics must be taken into account if we deal with cetacean distributions. The most relevant feature of the south-western Atlantic waters is the Subtropical Convergence (SC): cold sub-Antarctic waters from the Malvinas/Falkland Current encounter warm waters of the Brazil Current (Seeliger *et al.*, 1997). This system is dynamic throughout the year. During the austral winter, the Malvinas/ Falkland Current reaches lower latitudes, bathing the Uruguayan and Rio Grande do Sul State (southern Brazil) coasts. During the summer, the SC moves to the south, and the warmer Brazil Current washes the shores of Uruguay and Buenos Aires Province (Argentina) (Wainer *et al.*, 2000).

The geographical closeness of the proposed stocks of *Delphinus*, the complex dynamics of the SC and the little information of the specific allocation of the specimens from Uruguay, lead to the question whether both forms of *Delphinus* occur in Uruguayan waters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All available Uruguayan specimens of the genus *Delphinus* held in national collections were reviewed. Twelve skulls were examined, but only ten were included in the analysis

because of the cranial maturity: MMPE 016 (Museo del Mar de Punta del Este); MNHN 5760, 5819, 5820, 6105, 6133 (Museo Nacional de Historia Natural); ZVCM 459, 1089, 2108 (Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de la República) and DINARA w/n (Dirección Nacional de Recursos Acuáticos). As distal fusion is not an accurate marker of cranial maturity in D. delphis (Perrin & Heyning, 1993), the specimens were identified as adults based on Tavares et al. (2010): 'fused and secure, closed sutures, closed alveoli, and distal fusion between the premaxillae and maxillae at the tip of the rostrum'. The cranial measurements were taken with a 0.1 mm precision calliper according to Perrin (1975). Each measurement was repeated three times in order to obtain mean values. The diagnostic tool to discriminate the short and long beaked forms was the rostral ratio (RR) criteria presented by Heyning & Perrin (1994). The RR was calculated as the ratio of length of rostrum/zygomatic width (1.21-1.47 for Delphinus delphis and 1.52-1.77 for Delphinus capensis).

RESULTS

Four specimens were identified as *Delphinus capensis*, three as *Delphinus delphis* and three could not be determined (*Delphinus* sp.) because of broken beaks or intermediate value of RR (Table 1).

For the specimen MNHN 5819, the value of the RR lies between long and short beaked ranges and therefore is not useful to identify it at specific level. In the case of MNHN 5760 and MNHN 5820, the RR could not be calculated because of their broken beaks. As the coloration pattern and total length of the two forms may vary from the criteria presented by Heyning & Perrin (1994), especially in the southwestern Atlantic (Tavares *et al.*, 2010), these individuals were identified as *Delphinus* sp.

Despite the low number of specimens available, we found both forms of *Delphinus* in Uruguay.

DISCUSSION

The occurrence of both forms of *Delphinus* in Uruguayan waters does not indicate *per se* to which stock(s) they belong. Since Stock 2 does not reach latitudes higher than 28°S and the distribution of Stock 3 includes Uruguay (Tavares *et al.*, 2010), we confirm the existence of *D. capensis* and report *D. delphis*, not already communicated for Uruguay.

Our result is consistent with the hypothesis that the occurrence of both forms of *Delphinus* in Uruguayan waters could be the result of displacements of Stock 3 of short and long beaked common dolphins, probably associated with the dynamics of the SC and storms. The scarcity of records for Uruguay is consistent with the fact that Stock 3 distributes in deeper waters, far from the coastline.

The existence of intermedial RR values between the two recognized species for the Uruguayan specimens was already found for other areas in the south-western Atlantic (Tavares *et al.*, 2010), North Atlantic (Murphy *et al.*, 2006; Westgate, 2007) and Australia (Bell *et al.*, 2002). The presence of these intermedial values could respond to the fact that RR ranges proposed by Heyning & Perrin (1994) may not apply exactly to the specimens of the south-western Atlantic (see discussion in Tavares *et al.*, 2010).

Fotal length (cm) – šex – – PRI (mmn) – 440	MIMPE 010	MNHN 5760	MNHN 5819	MNHN 5820	MNHN 6105	MNHN 6133	ZVCM 459	ZVCM	ZVCM
Total length (cm) – čex – CRI (mma) – 440								1089	2108
jex – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	190	I	216	190	I	I	I	I	I
BI (mm) 440	I	I	Female	Female	I	I	I	I	I
	419	I	457	I	458	465	456	462	445
Jpper jaw alveoli (r) –	49	I	49	I	1	1	50	53	51
Jpper jaw alveoli (1) –	49	I	48	I	1	1	51	54	50
Lower jaw alveoli (r) –	52	1	1	1	1	I	49	54	49
Lower jaw alveoli (1) –	50	I	47	I	I	I	48	53	50
LR (mm) 278	263	I	279	I	288	284	287	290	286
ZW (mm) 192	182	183	187	180	189	200	189	188	182
3R 1.44	1.44	I	1.49	I	1.52	1.42	1.52	1.54	1.57
Date 06/09/2002	16/02/1999	I	12/07/2002	11/09/2003	I	02/1994	< 1972	< 1972	I
cocality, department Territorial sea	José Ignacio,	Unknown	Las Flores,	Atlántida,	La Coronilla,	Cabo Polonio,	Cabo Polonio,	Unknown,	Unknown,
	Maldonado		Maldonado	Canelones	Rocha	Rocha	Rocha	Rocha	Rocha
Coordinates 34°46′S 52°o3′W	V 34°50′00″S	I	$34^{\circ}48'S 55^{\circ}20'W$	34°46′47″S	$33^{\circ}53'S53^{\circ}30'W$	$34^{\circ}23'25''S$	$34^{\circ}24'30''S$	Ι	I
	$54^{\circ}37'40''W$			55°44′53″W		53°46′59′′W	53°43'25''W		
Morphotype D. delphis	D. delphis	Delphinus sp.	Delphinus sp.	Delphinus sp.	D. capensis	D. delphis	D. capensis	D. capensis	D. capensis

the second

ð

È

of Dalala

Idontification

Tabla

On the other hand, as our information comes mostly from strandings, it provides a lineal view of the population ranges. Despite the scarcity of data and lack of reported sightings, we can draw the following conclusion: the presence of both species in Uruguayan waters is confirmed. This finding is a relevant input for a re-examination of the current approach on the distribution of the genus *Delphinus* in the southwestern Atlantic.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We appreciate the cooperation shown by Maurício Tavares for the comments that helped to improve the manuscript. We are indebted to Julio Chocca, Bernardo González, Yamandú Marin and Gastón Beathyate (Laboratorio de Tecnología Pesquera, DINARA), Alberto Ponce de León and Cesar Barreiro (Departamento de Mamíferos Marinos, DINARA), Pablo Etchegaray (Museo del Mar of Punta del Este) and Melitta Meneghel (Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de la República), who allowed us to examine skulls and catalogues; Enrique A. Crespo and Diego Rodríguez for literature support. This work would not have been possible without the permanent support and encouragement of Ricardo Praderi and Enrique M. González.



(Maldonado); MNHN, Museo Nacional de Historia Natural (Montevideo); ZVCM, Sección Zoología—Vertebrados of the Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de la República (Montevideo)

This contribution is dedicated to Ing. Agr. Ricardo Praderi, researcher at the Museo Nacional de Historia Natural of Montevideo. Pioneer in the cetacean studies in Uruguay, with more than 50 years dedicated to research, excellent professor and beloved friend.

REFERENCES

- Arechavaleta J. (1882) Reino Animal. In Album de la República Oriental del Uruguay compuesto para la Exposición Continental de Buenos Aires. Montevideo, Uruguay, pp. 41–54.
- Bastida R. and Rodríguez D. (2006) Orden Cetacea. In Barquez R.M., Díaz M.M. and Ojeda R.A. (eds) *Mamíferos de Argentina. Sistemática y Distribución.* Tucumán: Sociedad Argentina para el Estudio de los Mamíferos, pp. 122–144.
- **Bell C.H., Kemper C.M. and Conran J.G.** (2002) Common dolphins *Delphinus delphis* in southern Australia: a morphometric study. *Australian Mammalogy* 24, 1–10.
- **Casinos A.** (1984) A note on the common dolphin of the South American Atlantic coast with some remarks about speciation of the genus *Delphinus. Acta Zoologica Fennica* 172, 141–142.
- Cherem J.J., Simões-Lopes P.C., Althoff S. and Graipel M.E. (2004) Lista dos mamíferos do Estado de Santa Catarina, sul do Brasil. *Mastozoología Neotropical* 11, 151–184.
- Crespo E.A., Koen Alonso M., Dans S.L., García N.A., Pedraza S.N., Coscarella M.A. and González R. (2000) Incidental catch of dolphins in mid-water trawls for southern anchovy off Patagonia. *Journal of Cetacean Research and Management* 2, 11–16.
- Figueira J.H. (1894) Contribución a la fauna uruguaya. Enumeración de mamíferos. Anales del Museo Nacional de Historia Natural de Montevideo 1, 187-217.

- González E.M. (2001) Guía de campo de los mamíferos de Uruguay. Introducción al estudio de los mamíferos. 1st edition. Montevideo: Vida Silvestre.
- Hershkovitz P. (1966) Catalogue of living whales. United States National Museum Bulletin 246, 1–259.
- Heyning J.E. and Perrin W.F. (1994) Evidence for two species of common dolphins (genus *Delphinus*) from the eastern North Pacific. *Contributions in Science* 442, 1-35.
- Jefferson T.A., Leatherwood S. and Webber M.A. (1993) Marine mammals of the world. FAO species identification guide. 1st edition. Rome. United Nations Environment Programme.
- Martins M.B., Ott P.H. and Danilewicz D.S. (1995) Notes on the common dolphins (genus *Delphinus*) from southern Brazil. *Eleventh Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals*, 14–18 December 1995. Orlando, Florida, p. 73.
- Mead J.G. and Brownell Jr R.L. (2005) Order Cetacea. In Wilson D.E. and Reeder D.M. (eds) *Mammal species of the world. A taxonomic and geographic reference.* Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, pp. 723–743.
- Murphy S., Herman J.S., Pierce G.J., Rogan E. and Kitchener A.C. (2006) Taxonomic status and geographical cranial variation of common dolphins (*Delphinus*) in the eastern North Atlantic. *Marine Mammal Science* 22, 573-599.
- Natoli A., Cañadas A., Peddemors V.M., Aguilar A., Vaquero C., Fernández-Piqueras P. and Hoelzel A.R. (2006) Phylogeography and alpha taxonomy of the common dolphin (*Delphinus* sp.). *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 19, 943–954.
- **Perrin W.F.** (1975) Variation of spotted and spinner porpoise (genus *Stenella*) in the eastern Pacific and Hawaii. *Bulletin of the Scripps Institution of Oceanography of the University of California* 21, 1–206.
- Perrin W.F. and Heyning J.E. (1993) Rostral fusion as a criterion of cranial maturity in the common dolphin, *Delphinus delphis. Marine Mammal Science* 9, 195–197.
- **Pilleri G.** (1977) Note on the geographic distribution of cetaceans in the Uruguayan coastal waters. *Investigations on Cetacea* 8, 89–94.
- Rosel P.E., Dizon A.E. and Heyning J.E. (1994) Genetic analysis of sympatric morphotypes of common dolphins (genus *Delphinus*). *Marine Biology* 119, 159–167.

- Santos M.C. de O., Rosso S. and Ramos R.M.A. (2002) Common dolphins (genus *Delphinus*) in south-eastern Brazil. *Mammalian Biology* 67, 47-50.
- Seeliger U., Odebrecht C. and Castello J.P. (1997) Subtropical convergence environments—the coast and sea in the south-western Atlantic. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Tavares M. (2006) O gênero Delphinus Linnaeus, 1758 (Cetacea, Delphinidae) no litoral brasileiro: morfometria sincraniana, padrão de coloração e distribução. MSc thesis. Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil.
- Tavares M., Moreno I.B., Siciliano S., Rodríguez D., Santos M.C. de O. and Fabián M.E. (2010) Biogeography of common dolphins (genus *Delphinus*) in the Southwestern Atlantic Ocean. *Mammal Review* 40, 40–64.
- Wainer I., Gent P. and Goni G. (2000) Annual cycle of the Brazil– Malvinas confluence region in the National Center for Atmospheric Research Climate System Model. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 105, 167–177.
- Westgate A.J. (2007) Geographic variation in cranial morphology of short-beaked common dolphins (*Delphinus delphis*) from the North Atlantic. *Journal of Mammalogy* 88, 678–688.
- Ximénez A., Langguth A. and Praderi R. (1972) Lista sistemática de los mamíferos del Uruguay. Anales del Museo Nacional de Historia Natural de Montevideo 7, 1–49.

and

Zerbini A.N., Secchi E.R., Bassoi M., Dalla-Rosa L., Higa A., Sousa L., Moreno I.B., Moller L.M. and Caon G. (2004) Distribuição e abundância relativa de cetáceos na Zona Econômica Exclusiva na Região Sudeste-Sul do Brasil. Série Documentos Revizee-Score Sul. Instituto Oceanográfico, Universidade de São Paulo, 40 pp.

Correspondence should be addressed to:

E. Juri Laboratorio de Mamíferos Marinos Museo Nacional de Historia Natural 25 de Mayo 582 CP 11100, Montevideo Uruguay email: edujuri@gmail.com